Semantic relationships within a set of verbal

entries in the Italian Lexical Database

Donella Antelmi — Adriana Roventini

1. Introduction

This paper refers to a study carried out at the Institute for Computational Linguistics in Pisa as part of a project concerning the Italian Machine Dictionary (DMI) as a lexical database.

Within the field of computational linguistics there is an increasing need to represent the lexical entry in a consistent and exhaustive way, so that all the syntactic and semantic implications of the lemma are made explicit. In particular a lexical entry, for example of a verb, can be considered exhaustive if it is represented not only with its syntactic but also semantic features which must be given a unitary representation whenever possible. Over the last years a systematic study of the lexicon has shown that classes of verbs with homogeneous meanings often have a similar behaviour at the syntactic level. Furthermore, the morphological links which exist in a language are all strictly connected with syntactic and semantic attributes. They represent different structuring levels within the lexicon with many regular features which can be usefully correlated to particular semantic and syntactic aspects. In a previous study examining more than 20,000 nouns and adjectives derived by suffixation we connected morphologic and semantic features, and we created a new cluster in the database where these links were physically represented by means of pointers and semantic codes. For all these reasons the starting point of this work was also derivational morphology and the grammatical category considered was that of the verb.

In view of the electronic processing to be adopted, among the more than 6,000 derived verbs present in the database, we decided to choose the subset showing the largest number of regularities from both a semantic and a syntactic point of view.

The aim of our research was to make explicit some features which could not be immediately retrieved from the database but which have come out during our analysis.

The following were the most important phases of our work:

a) to explicit the link between the verb and its base in order to enable the connection with other derivatives from the same base and therefore to refer to the work already carried out for the adjectives and the nouns. E.g.

Incuriosire (to make curious) → Curioso (curious)

Curioso (curious) → Incuriosire (to make curious)

Curioso (curious) → Curiosità (curiosity)

- b) to analyze the causative/inchoative alternation largely present in the subset;
- c) to check whether the subset presented other significant regularities even from the point of view of the aktionsart;
 - d) to codify the regularities identified.

2. Description of the corpus

As already said, the subset is considerably homogeneous both from the morphological and the semantic/syntactic point of view. It mainly consists of a group of verbs derived from nouns and adjectives (mostly parasynthetic) which indicate processes of transformation and change. This semantic feature appears clearly, at the lexicographical level, from the repetition in all their definitions, of two identical models or formulae which alternate according to whether the verb is observed in its transitive or intransitive use (and relevant meaning). The two genus terms are 'to make' (Italian = 'rendere', in a few cases 'to reduce', Italian = 'ridurre') in its transitive use and 'to become' (Italian = 'diventare' or 'divenire') in its intransitive use, as we can see from the following examples:

Acidificare = rendere acido (to make acid)
Acidificare = diventare acido (to become acid)

Aggravare = rendere più grave, pesante (to make worse, heavier)

Aggravare = diventare più grave, pesante (to become worse, heavier)

Assordare = rendere sordo (to deafen)

Assordare = diventare sordo (to become deaf)
Imbellire = rendere bello (to make beautiful)
Imbellire = diventare bello (to become beautiful)

Ingrassare = rendere grasso (to make fat)
Ingrassare = diventare grasso (to become fat)
Invecchiare = rendere vecchio (to make old)
Invecchiare = diventare vecchio (to become old)

From a quantitative point of view the corpus is formed by approximately 1,700 definitions but the lexical entries of the database to which they refer are more than half that number, since there are (although not very many) cases of only transitive verbs such as: 'allergizzare', 'aromatizzare', and others of only intransitive verbs such as 'imbarbogire', 'imbolsire'.

In this respect, it is interesting to note that the verbs having only the transitive form are in most cases suffixed verbs (ending in -izzare, -ificare) or verbs created by transcategorization, while the verbs having only the intransitive form are generally the prefixed ones. From a morphological point of view the verbs are divided into:

- a) derivatives by simple transcategorization, such as 'fiorire', 'chiarire', 'scurire';
- b) derivatives by suffixes, such as 'acidificare', 'chiarificare', 'centralizzare':
- c) derivatives by prefixes and transcategorization or parasynthetic derivatives such as 'arrossire', 'imbalordire', 'indebolire', 'invecchiare'.

The most frequent prefix is in-, which implies movement towards a state, a condition ('imbellire', 'invecchiare', 'incivilire'), followed by a-, in the sense of approach, direction, trend ('addomesticare', 'abbrutire', 'allentare'), ri- with an intensive or repetitive value or both ('rammorbidire', 'rasserenare', 'riabilitare'), s- with an inten-

sive value as in ('scaldare', 'slargare') or a deprivative value as in ('sfoltire', 'spigrire'), and also di-, de-, dis-, with both deprivative ('depilare') and intensive value ('disseccare'). The most frequent suffix is -izzare followed by -ificare.

One interesting feature within the corpus is represented by the multiple formations from the same base. These are very frequent (as we can see in Table A) and they are due to either syntactic or semantic differentiations, or to diachronic stratifications caused by changes in the use of the language.

In many cases a form has become obsolete and has thus been substituted by another form derived from the same base but with a different prefix or different class of conjugation, as we can see in these examples:

Chiarare	(obsolete)	Chiarire	(new form)
Scurare	it.	Scurire	11
Abbruttire	n	Imbruttire	п
Sterilire	II .	Isterilire	u .

In other cases, different prefixes give an opposite meaning as in:

Ingrassare	Sgrassare
Associare	Dissociare
Infiammare	Sfiammare
Gassare	Sgassare

or a different meaning, as in:

Allargare	Slargare
Appianare	Spianare
Affilare	Sfilare

or opposition literal sense/figurative sense:

Avvelenare (both literal and figurative sense)
Invelenire (only figurative sense)

Addolcire (both literal and figurative sense)

Indolcire (only literal sense)

Another different case is that in which the prefix or the change of conjugation (are/ire) distinguishes different meanings which are due to polysemic or homographic bases. Thus we have:

Ammollare	from	molle	= wet
Ammollire	from	molle	= tender
Ammezzare	from	mezzo	= half
Ammezzire	from	mezzo	= rotten
Allentare	from	lento	= loose
Rallentare	from	lento	= slow, etc.

3. Grammatical category and ergativity

Following the analyses proposed by a number of linguists (e.g. Perlmutter, Burzio) the most recent proposals of lexicographers are in favour of a grammatical description of the verbal entries which go beyond the distinction between the transitive and the intransitive form. In particular importance has been given to the concept of ergativity, by which it is possible to describe the regular behaviour of a wide class of verbs which present an alternation between the transitive and the intransitive form, often defined as causative/inchoative alternation, as for example in:

Mary broke the glass The glass broke

This phenomenon is also present in Italian verbs, and the majority of the verbs of our corpus is involved in this type of alternation. E.g.:

- 1) il fabbro arroventa il ferro
- 2) il ferro si arroventa
- 3) troppo sole fa avvizzire /avvizzisce la pelle
- 4) la pelle si avvizzisce / avvizzisce al sole
- 5) Luisa si impigrì / impigrì e non fece più ginnastica
- 6) il caldo fece impigrire / impigrì Luisa
- 7) tutte le guerre del passato impallidiscono di fronte all'ultima
- 8) il terrore fece impallidire / impallidì il volto di Luisa
- 9) i commercianti ribassano i prezzi
- 10) quando la domanda cala i prezzi ribassano
- 11) i falegnami invecciano il legno con prodotti speciali
- 12) si sa che il fumo fa invecchiare la pelle
- 13) lo scrittore, dimenticato, invecchio' in miseria
- 14) * Giorgio evapora l'acqua
- 15) Giorgio fa evaporare l'acqua
- 16) l'acqua evapora

The causative/inchoative alternation can be expressed in Italian in two ways which seem to depend on the specific verb, and for this reason it cannot be predicted.

The first consists in the regular alternation as in English between transitive and non-transitive form, with no other morphosyntactic detail (examples 9 and 10).

The second way in which the alternation appears is with the pronominal form 'si' with the non-transitive form of the verb (examples 1 and 2).

This is a first important division regarding the morphosyntactic behaviour of these verbs.

The other examples reported show several variations either in the causative construction, where one can either use or not use the causative auxiliary 'fare' (see examples 3, 6), or in the inchoative construction where we can use or not the pronominal form 'si' (examples 4, 5). The causative form 'fare' can be used with most of the verbs, but in the particular subset we are considering, this type of construction makes it possible to re-establish a symmetry when the transitive version of the alternation is

missing (see examples 14, 15 and 16). In the other cases it is only a stylistic variation (but it is preferred when the transitive version of the verb is no longer topical as in 8).

Summing up, the verbs which present the causative/inchoative alternation in Italian are distributed according to the following schema:

	Α	В	C	
Causative	V	V	FA+V	
Inchoative	V	SI + V	V	

The type Ribassare belongs to column A, the type Arroventare to column B, the type Evaporare to column C, the types Avvizzire or Impigrire can behave according to any of the above models.

4. Aktionsart

As it is well known, the verbal action or aktionsart constitutes a semantic feature of the verb which is connected with aspect; however, while the aspect is strictly linked to the paradigm of tenses, the aktionsart is essentially connected with a lexical choice, and for this reason it is of considerable interest in a general analysis of the lexicon. In this study on the meaning of a particular subset of verbs, it was important to consider this characteristic and also useful in order to increase semantic information in the DMI. As regards the aktionsart we have considered the concepts of duration or «time» and goal or «telos» as elements pertinent to our analysis. With regard to time the verbs can be divided into 'durative' or 'non-durative'. The 'durative' verbs are opposed to the 'non-durative' since the latter are verbs in which the action can be considered as started and finished at the very same moment. In this respect, a verb like 'addormentarsi' = to go to sleep (non durative) is opposed to the verb 'dormire' = to sleep (durative). With regard to goal or «telos», the verbs can be seen as actions or processes directed towards a specific change, state, condition that can be achieved or not; in this respect the verbs can be divided into Accomplishment and Achievement (following Vendler's classic scheme '67) or in Risultativi and Trasformativi (using Italian terms, following Bertinetto's classification '86). Let us now consider the set of the verbs which we have chosen.

All these verbs are characterized by the movement towards a state or condition represented by the adjective or by the noun from which they are derived:

Arroventare $\ldots \rightarrow$ rovente	= red-hot
Ingrassare → grasso	= fat
Addolcire → dolce	= sweet
Imbellire \rightarrow bello	= beautiful
Depurare → puro	= clear
Rasserenare → sereno	= quiet
Allargare → largo	= wide

For this reason, by representing a process or a specific change, all these could be considered telic verbs and as a matter of fact for each of these verbs it is possible to

identify a context where the adverbial 'in x time', which is the syntactic test adopted by most of linguists to check this feature, is appropriate. What remains suspended is the actual completion of the process, which is nearly always likely to continue.

In this respect it must be noted that also the affixes give the sense of duration in time: while in- and a- imply not only the beginning but also an indefinite increase, this is not true for the suffixed verbs in -ificare, -izzare which give the idea of a process which takes place in various phases, with a beginning, a centre and a definite conclusion. It must also be noted that there is a difference between the transitive and the intransitive meaning of the verb with regard to the aktionsart. Let us consider for example the verb Addolcire in the two following sentences:

Lucia addolcisce il caffe' (sweetens the coffee) Lucia addolcisce con gli anni (softens with age)

We observe that the transitive use of the verb can be better placed among the *Accomplishment* or *Risultativi*, while the intransitive version is certainly to be placed among the *Achievement* or *Transformativi*.

This characteristic alternation appears with regularity in most of the verbs we are considering, so we decided to evidence this feature by means of codes.

5. Codification of the corpus

In order to assign to each meaning of the verb its relevant representation, so that the lexical entry of each verb appears in a more detailed and complete form, we started a first – completely automatic – processing of our set of data.

The first nucleus of data was obtained using a query program which allowed us to extract from the database three sets of lemmas, the definition of which contained the genus term 'rendere' (make), 'diventare' (become), 'divenire' (become). These were brought together and sorted both alphabetically by lemma (which evidenced the sequence of the formative prefixes) and by grammatical category (which grouped them according to their syntactical features). By using another program, the lemma number and the definition number were added to this data for each entry and the file containing this information was used to connect each verb to the base, noun or adjective, from which it derived. Finally we added to each entry the codes relative to the features analyzed. Table B is a sample from our set of entries as they appear after codification: the code ERG (which stands for ergative) in column 8 indicates that the verb under consideration shows the semantic/syntactic features typical of the ergative verbs, in particular the causative/inchoative alternation: codes CAUS/INCO in column 7. The transitive tag VT has been referred to the meaning 'rendere' (make) and the non-transitive tag (pronominal, intransitive, pronominal-intransitive: VP, VI, VE) to the meaning 'diventare' (become), and the relative auxiliary has been added (column 6: A = to have, E = to be).

As regards the aktionshart all the verbs of the set were given, by default, the code of 'telos' (goal in English), and the value *Accomplishment* (in table TT, column 9, which stands for the Italian term *Risultativo*) were assigned to the transitive use, while the value *Achievement* (in the table TR for the Italian term *Trasformativo*) were assigned to the non-transitive use. A further codification was also given to the

affixes indicated in the last column, and next to them, in column 10, we indicated the value they have in the corpus.

We shall obviously have to intervene later, and this time manually, to correct those values which (assigned by default) are not always correct. For example, while for the prefixes in- the intensive value is perfectly regular and thus correct, when the deprivative code was assigned to the prefixes de- dis- s- the value is not always exact, because, as already said, in some cases they have an intensive or a neutral value.

Proposing this codification, compared to the previous organization of the entries, the following values are made explicit:

- 1) the two genus terms 'rendere' and 'diventare' have been linked to the transitive and non transitive use respectively;
 - 2) the auxiliary selected by each of the two forms is indicated;
- 3) the ergative nature of the verbs and the causative/inchoative alternation are evidenced:
- 4) a value has been assigned to the aktionsart although in its most basic and regular meaning;
- 5) the affix and the semantic value: intensive, deprivative, repetitive, of which the affix is the carrier are made explicit.

References

- ANTELMI, D., ROVENTINI, A.: Derivatives: a new relation in the Italian Lexical Database, forthcoming.
- ATKINS, B., KEGL, J., LEVIN, B. Explicit and implicit information in Dictionaries, Report 5, Cognitive Science Laboratory, Princeton University, November 1986.
- ATKINS, B., KEGL, J., LEVIN, B. «Anatomy of a verb entry: from Linguistic Theory to Lexicographic Practice», *International Journal of Lexicography*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1988, Oxford University Press.
- Bertinetto, P. M., Tempo aspetto e azione nel verbo italiano. Il sistema dell'indicativo. Study di Lessicografia Italiana, Firenze: Accademia della Crusca, 1986.
- BOGURAEV, B., BRISCOE, T., Computational Lexicography for Natural Language Processing, 1989, Harlow, Longman Group Ltd.
- BURZIO, L., Italian Syntax, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1986.
- CALZOLARI, N., «Structure and access in an automated lexicon and related issues», in: Walker, D., Zampolli, A., Calzolari N. (eds.), Automating the Lexicon: Research and Practice in a Multilingual Environment, 1988. Cambridge University Press.
- CALZOLARI, N., PETERS, C., ROVENTINI, A., Computational Model of the Dictionary Entry, Esprit Basic Research Action No. 3030, Preliminary Report, Pisa, April 1990.
- LEVIN, B., «The Representation of Semantic Information in the Lexicon», in Walker, D., Zampolli, A., Calzolari, N. (eds.), Automating the Lexicon: Research and Practice in a Multilingual Environment, 1988, Cambridge University Press.
- VENDLER, Z., «Verbs and Times», in *Linguistics in Philosophy*, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y., 1967.

FILE: VERBI SORTI F VM/SP CONVERSATIONAL MONITOR SYSTEM 0494090VTE INCARBONIRE
0494050VNP INCARARE
0494460VE INCARGRIRE
0894340VTP SCAROGNIRE
0494550VE INCATARRARE
0495100VTE INCATIVIRE
0895550VT SCATIOLICIZZARE
0495100VTE SCAVARE
0895220VT INCAVARE
0495220VT INCAVARE DIV CARBONE
DIV CAROGNA
DIV CAROGNA
DIV CARTAPECORA
DIV CATTAPOSO DIV DIV REN CATTIVO 0895550VI
0895550VI
0896670VI
0895670VI
0895670VI
0895670VI
0896180VI
0198230VT
01198240VTE
0198460VTE
019860VTE
019860 REN CATTOLICO REN CAVO
REN CAVO
REN CAVO
REN CENTRALE
DIV CERCONE 0366470VI 0495220VI 0895670VI REN CHIARD CHIARD CHIARD CHIARO REN DIV REN CHIARO REN CHIARD REN CHIARD REN CHIARD CHIARO CHIARO CHIARO DIV DÍV REN CHIARO
DIV CIECO
DIV CIFCO
DIV CITRULLO
DIV CIUCCO
REN CIUCCO
DIV CIVETTA
DIV CIVILE DIV DIV REN CTVILE
CIVILE
COUARDO
COLONIA
COLORE
COMICO
CONTADINO
CORDA
CRETINO
CRISTALLO
CRUBELE
CRUDELE
CRUDO
CRUDO
CRUDO
CRUSCA
CUOIO DIV DIV REN DIV REN REN REN REN REN REN DIV DĪV VIQ VIQ CUDIO CUGIO CUPO CUPO CURIOSO REN CURVO

TABLE A

FILE: VERBI	COD F		VM/SP	CONVERSATIONAL	MONITOR SYSTEM
NFROLLIRE NFRONDIRE NFRONDIRE NFRONDIRE NFUNGHIRE NFUNGHIRE NFUNGHIRE NFURBIRE NGAGIIARDIRE NGENTILIRE NGENTILIRE NGENTILIRE NGOLOSIRE NGOLOSIRE NGOLOSIRE NGOLOSIRE NGOLOSIRE NGRACILIRE NGRACILIRE NGRACILIRE NGRACILIRE NGRACILIRE NGRASSARE NGRASSARE NGRASSARE NGRASSARE NGRASSARE NGRASSICHIARE NGRASSARE NGRASSARE NGRASSARE NGRAZIIARE NGRAZIIARE NGRAZIIARE NGRAZIIARE NGRAZIIARE NGRAZIIARE NGRAZIIARE NGRAZIIARE NGROSSARE NGROSSARE NGROSSARE NGROSSARE NGROSSARE NGROSSARE NGROSSARE NGROSSARE NGROSSARE	FROLLO FROLLO FROLLO FROLLO FROLLO FUNGO FUNGO FURFANTI FURIOSO GAGLIAGE GENTILE GENTILE GENTILE GENTILE GENTILE GENTILE GENTILE GENTILE GENTILE GRANDE GRACILE GRANDE GRANDE GRANDE GRANSO GRASSO	00 00 Y		-DIV VE ECOTIV VE ECOTIV VI ECOTIV ECOTIV VI ECOTIV EC	INCO ERGA TR INT INCO E
NNASPRIRE NNASPRIRE NNASPRIRE NNERVARE NNERVOSIRE NNERVOSIRE NORGOGLIRE NOSTRARE NOSTRARE NOTTUSIRE NOTTUSIRE NOTUSIRE NOUIEIARE	ASPRO ASPRO ASPRO ASPRO NERVOSO NERVOSO ORGOGLIO OSTRO OTTUSO INQUIETO) 050 8	66666{ 664 89999	EREN VI A HEN VI E DIV VE REN VI A DIV VP REN VT A PDIV VP PEN VI A PDIV VI A	CAUS ERBA TT INT CAUS ERBA TT INT INCO ERBA TR INT INCO ERBA TR INT CAUS ERBA TT INT INCO ERBA TT INT CAUS ERBA TT INT

TABLE B